## What are the Roots of Hindu Terrorism?

## Mudrarakshas

Alas, the translator no longer has the source for this translation, which is © 2020 Robert A. Hueckstedt.

I have heard the leaders of some opposition parties allege that Mr Lal Krishna Advani, leader of the Bharatiya Janata Party, is a native of Pakistan and therefore an immigrant in India. The logic behind that statement is wanting. Whether someone has immigrated to India from Pakistan or from elsewhere, whether one has come half a century ago or a thousand years ago, we should consider that person an Indian. Nevertheless, some political leaders opposed to the BJP do not shrink from spreading confusion in Indian politics by citing Mr Advani's Pakistani roots. Why?

In 1977 during the rule of the Janata Party the Foreign Minister was Mr Atal Behari Vajpayee. In mass gatherings even today Mr Vajpayee repeats the assertion that his relations with Pakistan are extremely good. Perhaps it's a different matter that the Dharm Sansad that his own party organized passed a resolution to the effect that Pakistan was their "constant enemy".

Mr Vajpayee and Mr Advani have also maintained over and over again that while they were in jail during the Emergency they had very close relations with the Jamaat-e-Islami. Did they really know which Muslims were in the Jamaat-e-Islami and which were not?

So this, too, is an irony. They have very close relations with the Jamaat-e-Islami, but when Muslims are barbarously slaughtered in riots in Maliyana, Muradabad, Bhibandi, Karnailganj, Bhagalpur, Bombay, Surat and elsewhere, instead of expressing their sorrow, they express their pleasure! What can I say but that they have their own ethics.

Consider some of the major issues with which, over the past four or five years, Mr Atal Behari Vajpayee and Mr Advani have been able to foment interest in the Bharatiya Janata Party.

In Pakistan terrorists are turning both the governmental administration and the society as a whole into slaves of religion. In Punjab and in Kashmir terrorists have tried to revolutionize society so that it would conform to the letter of certain religious texts. Up until five years ago in all those cases even the Bharatiya Janata Party's Mr Advani and Mr Atal Behari Vajpayee opposed the work of the terrorists. Since then, however, that same party and those same "Hindu" leaders have used the same basic method of the terrorists they previously opposed, namely the establishment of religion in politics.

The terrorists in Pakistan support death by stoning as a punishment for women. The party of Mr Advani and Mr Vajpayee supports sattee, the burning of widows alive.

As the terrorists in Pakistan want to replace the Pakistani judicial system with Sharia courts, so Mr Advani and Mr Vajpayee are bruiting about the idea of making the Manusmriti the law of the land here.

Terrorists in Punjab and Kashmir reject the Indian Constitution and the Indian National Anthem. So do Mr Vajpayee, Mr Advani and their party members. In fact, in Allahabad recently, in order to instill some guidance in their various endeavours, a so-called Religious Assembly, a Dharm Sansad, was set up of a gang of well-fed, saffron-robed "beggars" in which not only the Constitution but our entire parliamentary system itself was rejected.

Exactly as the terrorists have done in Pakistan, here Mr Advani's and Mr Vajpayee's Religious Assembly has declared that our Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha, symbols of India's independence and honour, are unworthy of respect. Terrorists trained in Pakistan also reject the legitimacy of the Indian Parliament.

Pakistan's terrorists want to run their country and their society according to the commands of poorly educated religious leaders. Mr Advani and Mr Vajpayee, likewise, give speeches to the effect that our country and society should be run according to the advice of sadhus. Yet these so-called sadhus don't accept the humanistic and scientific knowledge that mankind has gained over time, and the advice they are giving will turn our country into an area of total intellectual darkness. Their gathering in Allahabad one can call a Religious Assembly only hypocritically. There, to please Mr Advani and Mr Vajpayee, the false sadhus publicly recommended that in the name of varnashrama dharma dalits should be treated as untouchable. The leaders of the Bharatiya Janata Party were well satisfied and quite pleased with that loathesome pronouncement offered in the garb of holiness.

Terrorists trained in Pakistan consider their first and last means of accomplishing a policital end to be violence and murder. Instead of the give-and-take of thoughtful discussion the Hindu Movement of Mr Advani and Mr Vajpayee also considers its method to be the one that involves knives, swords, spears, guns and bombs. Everything ever said by Mr Bal Thakeray, one of the leaders in Mr Advani's Party Council, is a clear example of that. In Surat women had their clothes ripped off their bodies and were filmed being paraded down the street, and young girls were gang raped and murdered, and the Bharatiya Janata Party Council somehow found something to celebrate in this, and instead of being ashamed of their own self-satisfied smiles and of what was happening, Mr Advani and Mr Vajpayee acted exactly like terrorists trained in Pakistan.

It is not just by chance that among Hindus Mr Advani has been making use of terms such as "karseva". In Punjab the Sikh Tyagi Sant and other pious people performed karseva when they cleaned up the tank around the Haramandir. When the Golden Temple was destroyed, one of the Congres Government's own agents, Sant Singh performed what they called karseva when he rebuilt it, but the people of Punjab did not accept that karseva.

Among Hindus, when a temple is built there is shramdan, the gift of free labour, and bhumishodhan is performed, the purification of the ground, but there is no karseva. Perhpas because they were unfamiliar with the names for those good deeds only the word karseva was used in the directives that came from Pakistan, and perhaps that's why Mr Advani keeps on using that term with such pride.

In order to fit in even more closely with his terrorist colleagues in Pakistan Mr Advani had a few other things done. The "Gangas of martyrs" in Punjab adopted from Sikh tradtion the practice of tying yellow pahiyan on their turbans. From them Mr Advani got the idea of having yellow pahiyan tied on the heads of the gangs going to Ayodhya. And similar to the kirpan worn at the waist by Sikhs, one began to see the trishul, the trident, hanging from the leather belts of the karsevaks.

Another remarkable thing is that the terrorists in Kashmir who have been trained in Pakistan have been taught that Islam is in danger. Mr Advani and Mr Vajpayee make similar statements. They never tire of repeating that Hindu Dharm is in danger. Yet here, in India, Hindus hold more than fifty-six percent of the powerful positions in government. The percentage of Hindus in the armed forces, the police and the administra-

tion is even higher. Almost ninety-eight percent of India's industries are owned by Hindus, and Hindus control almost one hundered percent of business and trade. And yet high and wide Mr Advani continues to tell the lie that Hinduism is in danger. Why?

Laws have been passed in our country concerning the practice of sattee, untouchability, child marriages, and the right of women to inherit property. Why is Mr Advani's and Mr Vajpayee's party council against them?

Now the situation becomes clear. Terrorist groups in Pakistan oppose any improvement in Pakistan's society. Since Pakistani terrorists oppose any improvement in Pakistani society, Mr Advani oppposes any improvement in the lives of India's Hindus.

Another extraordinary characteristic of terrorists trained in Pakistan is this. They know absolutely nothing about their own religion, and they are particularly opposed to the good points it does have, and they despise any development of thought or knowledge among their people. The characteristics of Mr Advani's leadership are the same. He thinks the world of Godse and Bal Thakeray, but he has no time at all for Valmiki, Vyas, Shankar or Tulsi Das. He keeps the members of his party in total ignorance of the vast storehouse of knowledge that exists in Sanskrit because if they were to learn from that, they would refuse to help him in his terrorist activities.

Hindu dharm has an immense tradition consisting of numerous and varied ideas and opinions and thousands of gods. India has held her head high among the nations of the world on account of that diversity. Now, however, it has been proclaimed that if someone is to remain a Hindu, then he must restrict himself to one Ram, one Ram Temple (not even one for Ram and Sita), one Ramanandi Dharm, and one and only one book, called *B*unch of Thoughts.

In his enthusiasm a terrorist first makes an assault against his own religion, and by means of rape and murder he coerces it to conform to his own "religious rules" which he spreads by means of the gun. In Bombay, Surat and elsewhere Mr Advani's Party Council adopted this high road of the terrorist, and by means of rape and murder they made it their one and only path just to please their leaders. No one among them even made an attempt to propogate or explain any virtuous aspect of Hinduism. For Mr Advani no such thing exists.

Today many people, inspired by this or that, have begun expressing

doubts about Mr Advani. If they would begin to wonder what the roots are for what has occurred during the leadership of Mr Advani and Mr Vajpayee, and if they would realize that those roots lie in the terrorist training camps in Pakistan, then they would have a solid reason for their doubts.

Future generations will not overlook these questions, and sooner or later they'll place a big questiion mark on the root cause for the actions of those leaders.